DEC 1 4 2006

DEC Code: AP.PRE.REQ

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 19

PTO/SB/33 (07-05)
Approved for use through xx/xx/200x. OMB 0651-00xx
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

PRE-APPEAL BRIEF REQUEST FOR REVIEW		Docket Number (Optional)	
I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the	Application N	umber	Filed
United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to "Mail Stop AF, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450" [37 CFR 1.8(a)]	10/754,800		01/09/2004
on 12/14/12006	First Named Inventor		
Signature Roled Colon	Robert H. Roche		
,	Art Unit Examiner		
Typed or printed Robert H. Roche name		612	Mehmood, Jennifer A.
Applicant requests review of the final rejection in the above-identified application. No amendments are being filed with this request.			
This request is being filed with a notice of appeal. The review is requested for the reason(s) stated on the attached sheet(s).			
Note: No more than five (5) pages may be provided.			
I am the ✓ applicant/inventor.	<u>.</u>	Rabut	Whoh
assignee of record of the entire interest. See 37 CFR 3.71. Statement under 37 CFR 3.73(b) is enclosed. (Form PTO/SB/96)	Signature Robert H. Roche Typed or printed name		
attorney or agent of record. Registration number	410-876-5676		
	Telephone number		
attorney or agent acting under 37 CFR 1.34.	•	12/14/2006	
Registration number if acting under 37 CFR 1.34	Date		
NOTE: Signatures of all the inventors or assignees of record of the entire interest or their representative(s) are required. Submit multiple forms if more than one signature is required, see below*.			

This collection of information is required by 35 U.S.C. 132. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11, 1.14 and 41.6. This collection is estimated to take 12 minutes to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Mail Stop AF, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

*Total of 1 (one) forms are submitted.

Application/Control Number: 10/754,800. Art Unit: 2612.

Application №: 10/754,800

Confirmation №: 1600

Applicants: Robert H. Roche, Vadym Buyalsky, and Vladimir Herman

Filed: 01/09/2004.

DEC 1 4 2006

TC/A.U.: 2636

Supervisory Patent Examiner: Mr. Daniel Wu.

Examiner: Mrs. Mehmood, Jennifer A.

Customer №.: 7590.

Docket №.: N/A.

Commissioner for Patents, Mail Stop AF, P.O. Box1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

Arguments of Notice of Appeal and Pre-Appeal Brief Request for Review

Dear Madam/Sir,

Find please attached hereto the form PTO/SB/31 (09-06) of Notice of Appeal, form PTO/SB/33 (07-05) of Pre-Appeal Brief Request for Review, and check of small entity CTRL Systems, Inc. # ________ for \$250.00 made payable under 37 CFR § 1.23(a) and § 41.20(a) to Director of the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office.

This Notice of Appeal is being submitted to you under MPEP § 706.07 (37 CFR § 1.113 and § 1.116), since applicant doesn't agree with Claim rejections by Final Office Action of 09/20/2006.

We appreciate the efforts of Examiner in all the previous Office Actions devoted to placing the application No.10/754,800 in condition for allowance. In response to the requirements of Examiner the applicant has made the necessary amendments to the Specification, Drawings and Claims according to the editorial notes and regarding the better explanation of subject matter of the invention with the aim to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains to utilize this invention successfully. Furthermore, these additional explanations represent the know-how of ultrasonic detection technology proprietary to CTRL Systems, Inc.

Nevertheless, the applicant needs to set forth under 37 CFR § 41.31(a)(1) the following arguments of its disagreement with the primary examiner's rejection of its claims by FINAL Office Action of 09/20/2006, namely:

1) The independent Claim 1 comprises the distinct statement of the patentable feature of invention under 35 U.S.C. 102 and 103, i.e. the method of improvement of the process of ultrasonic detection of a target where this improvement consists in sufficient enhancement of distance and trustworthiness of locating a target with ultrasound beam patterns. Besides, Claim 1 states limitations that represent the logical sequence of techniques the said method is being accomplished with. None of the US Patents and Applications that Examiner tried to oppose to the invention by application No.: 10/754,800 contain the similar limitations and their unusual interdependence.

Application/Control Number: 10/754,800. Art Unit: 2612.

- 2) The clear illustration of the method and techniques thereof is represented by figures in formats of drawings, tables and flow charts.
- 3) The independent Claim 1 and dependent Claims 2, 3, 4, 5, 6 state the basic breakdowns of projecting a defense-in-depth infrastructure of ultrasound intrusion detection system with use of the interrelated techniques of:
 - Arrangement all the premises of buildings and outside dome-type room around a protected object in multi-echelon structure where the shape and dimensions of these juxtaposed echelons are limited by possible distance of propagation of ultrasound in the designed direction of intrusion location.
 - Choice of types of ultrasonic detectors and their spacing chart in accordance with the format of intrusion detection and pertain mode of response of emitted ultrasound signal inside every echelon.
 - Designing predictive models of intrusion vulnerability of each echelon and the entire area
 of protected object regarding previously simulated model of presumptive spatio-temporal
 behavior of an intruding subject or a trespasser along their possible routings; and
 plotting the intrusion event tree that reveals cause-effect relations between an intrusion
 occurrence and subsequent menaces, i.e. threats, to echelons and their sublevels therein,
 and to a protected object integrally.
 - Derivation of mathematical expressions for the system of logical equations of said causeeffect relations for the intrusion events in every echelon and its sublevels therein, the
 verifying logical matrix of intrusion justification, the logical decision matrix of interechelon cause-effect relations and factors of menaces, the generalized resolving logical
 equation; and drawing up the control software algorithm that governs the hardware where
 the resolver handles the system of said echelons' logical equations, the verifying logical
 matrix, the logical decision matrix and the generalized resolving logical equation; the data
 control block operates the modes of locating with ultrasound beams and the data
 acquisition procedure; and the system control block forms and presents the signals of
 intrusion detection and justification, and the triggering signals of intrusion prevention,
 protection and defense.
 - Establishing the software-programmable inter-echelon informational and processing
 logical interrelation among all the juxtaposed and non-adjacent echelons wherein said
 interrelation is being automatically treated and handled in the real time domain by the said
 control software algorithm that operates the continuous status scan of all the ultrasonic

Application/Control Number: 10/754,800. Art Unit: 2612. detectors (transceivers and oppositely aligned pairs of transmitters and receivers) in every echelon simultaneously. The informational and processing inter-echelon interrelation is being treated by control software algorithm that features situational logic transition driven by IF-THEN operator.

- 4) The dependent Claims 9 and 10 state distinctly the limitations regarding the techniques of:
 - Setting-up the echelons' logical equations, the logical decision matrix, the verifying logical matrix, and the generalized resolving logical equation.
 - The iterative process of resolving the goal function of ultrasound intrusion detection during continuous status scan and data acquisition by the sequential procedure in the steps of:

solution of the echelons' logical equations for justification the fact of intrusion menace; and carrying-out running analysis of acquired facts of intrusion menaces by logical decision matrix; and

processing the generalized resolving logical equation by the said control software algorithm with respect to the said verifying logical matrix.

Since the invention by Application No.: 10/754,800 possesses patentability and features the novelty of ultrasound intrusion detection methodology, the Claims 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 9, and 10 amended under MPEP § 706.07 (37 CFR § 1.113; § 1.116) are expected not to be rejected due to the temporary misunderstanding of their specificities and distinctness.

Respectfully submitted, CTRL Systems, Inc.

By Columbia Robert H. Roche

Date: 12/14/2006

Tel.: 1-(410)-876-5676. Toll free: 1-(877)-287-5797.

Fax: 1-(410)-848-8073.